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1. INTRODUCTION

CO₂ emission from the combustion of fossil fuels is one of the major causes of global warming, which highlights the important role of carbon capture and sequestration (CCS). Carbon capture is the most essential and expensive part of CCS due to its high energy consumption and low efficiency. Multiple techniques have been proposed for CO₂ capture, such as cryogenic distillation, membrane separation, and solvent absorption. Among these strategies, solvent absorption, especially amine-containing solvents, was proposed as the most applicable technique due to its high energy efficiency and facile operation. However, the current amine-containing solvents used (e.g., monoethanolamine and KS-1) have drawbacks including high energy consumption, high volatility, poor stability, and so on. The emerging room temperature ionic liquids (RTILs) demonstrated that DILs are promising absorbents for CO₂ capture especially compared with monocationic ionic liquids (MILs) analogues, in which each cation carries single positive charge in contrast to two unit charges of a dication. However, DILs/CO₂ interfacial properties at the molecular level are still unknown. This work investigated the CO₂ absorption properties of representative DILs, 1-alkyl-3-dimethylimidazolium bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide, [C₆(mim)₂](Tf₂N)₂ (n = 3, 6, 12), using molecular dynamics (MD) simulations. The higher interfacial CO₂ density at DIL than that at MIL interfaces suggests the increased CO₂ interaction sites in DILs. The interfacial CO₂ density also exhibits an alkyl chain length dependence which decreases with the elongation of alkyl chain and proportionally correlates with the content of fluorine atoms at interfaces. Different alkyl chain orientations in DILs were illustrated in contrast to those of MILs; both DILs and CO₂ inside DILs exhibit lower diffusivity than MILs, in agreement with the stronger cation–anion binding energy of DILs. Moreover, DILs show a lower H₂O and N₂ uptake from flue gas compared with MILs, implicating the higher CO₂/H₂O and CO₂/N₂ selectivity.
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DILs have high thermal stability\textsuperscript{14} and low heterogeneity in contrast to counterpart MILs.\textsuperscript{15} In our previous work, we have investigated their distinctive structural organization\textsuperscript{15} and electrochemical performance as electrolytes in supercapacitors;\textsuperscript{16} however, the CO\textsubscript{2} sorption capability of DILs is little known, especially compared to that of MILs. Recently, Zhang et al. observed the enhanced CO\textsubscript{2} uptake by DILs, 1,2-bis(3-methylimidazolium-1-yl)ethane imidazolate ([Bis(mim)C\textsubscript{2}]-[Im]\textsubscript{2}), and 1,4-bis(3-methylimidazolium-1-yl)butane imidazolate ([Bis(mim)C\textsubscript{4}]-[Im]\textsubscript{2}), in which the CO\textsubscript{2} uptake by DILs is twice that of their MIL analogues.\textsuperscript{17} Similarly, Hojniak et al. reported that DILs-incorporated membranes exhibited the enhanced CO\textsubscript{2}/N\textsubscript{2} selectivity and adsorption capability in comparison with MILs-incorporated membrane, which was ascribed to the increased CO\textsubscript{2} interaction sites in DILs.\textsuperscript{18} These studies have imparted the potential application of DILs for CO\textsubscript{2} capture.

In this work, from a viewpoint of modeling, we investigated the CO\textsubscript{2}/DILs interfacial phenomena by molecular dynamics (MD) simulations of CO\textsubscript{2}/DILS (1-alkyl-3-dimethylimidazolium bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide, [C\textsubscript{n}(mim)][Tf\textsubscript{2}N]\textsubscript{2} (n = 3, 6, 12)) and CO\textsubscript{2}/MILs (([C\textsubscript{3}mim][Tf\textsubscript{2}N] (n = 3, 6, 6)) interfaces. Both interfacial structural and dynamical properties of CO\textsubscript{2}/DILs and CO\textsubscript{2}/MILs were compared, and their interfacial behaviors at flue gas/RTILs interfaces were also analyzed. Additionally, the binding energies of dication—aion and monocation—anion were calculated in order to estimate the interaction strength of ion pairs via \textit{ab initio} calculation.

2. METHODOLOGY

Classical Molecular Dynamics Simulation. The force field used for dications [C\textsubscript{n}(mim)][Tf\textsubscript{2}N]\textsubscript{2} (n = 3, 6, 12) was adapted from the all-atom model developed by Yeganegi et al.\textsuperscript{19} The force fields for monocations and anions were taken from the study of Lopes' group.\textsuperscript{20} Force field parameters for CO\textsubscript{2} and H\textsubscript{2}O were taken from Shi et al.'s study,\textsuperscript{13} and those for N\textsubscript{2} and O\textsubscript{2} were from Arora et al.'s work.\textsuperscript{21} All the C–H bonds were constrained using the LINCS algorithm\textsuperscript{22} during the simulation, and a 1.5 nm cutoff was used for van der Waals interactions. Long-range electrostatic interactions were processed using the particle mesh Ewald (PME) method.\textsuperscript{23} An RTIL box consisting of 100 ion pairs with the size of approximately 4.12 nm × 4.12 nm × 4.12 nm was equilibrated at 350 K and 1 bar for 6 ns, followed with a 12 ns production run. The CO\textsubscript{2}/RTILs interfaces were created by placing two cubic boxes of 216 CO\textsubscript{2} molecules above and below the RTIL slab, respectively. Then canonical ensemble MD simulation was conducted at 350 K to study the interfacial absorption behavior of CO\textsubscript{2}. A model of flue gas box containing 150 N\textsubscript{2}, 20 CO\textsubscript{2}, 20 H\textsubscript{2}O, and 10 O\textsubscript{2} was also used in order to obtain the interfacial properties of flue gas/RTILs interfaces. The periodic boundary condition (PBC) was applied in three dimensions. All simulations in this work were performed using MD package Gromacs.\textsuperscript{24}

The diffusion coefficient was calculated according to the Einstein relation

\begin{equation}
D = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{6t} \langle [r_i(t) - r_i(0)]^2 \rangle
\end{equation}

where \(D\) is the diffusion coefficient, \(t\) is time, \(r_i(t)\) is the position of particle \(i\) at time \(t\), and \(r_i(0)\) is the initial position of particle \(i\).

The averaged number density profiles were calculated along the direction perpendicular to the CO\textsubscript{2}/RTILs interfaces by binning method. The orientational order parameter of RTILs and CO\textsubscript{2} was described by the second-order Legendre polynomials defined in the equation

\begin{equation}
\langle P_2 \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle 3 \cos^2 \theta - 1 \rangle
\end{equation}

where \(\langle P_2 \rangle\) is the average orientational order parameter and \(\theta\) is the angle formed by alkyl chain vector with the normal to \([C\textsubscript{n}(mim)][Tf\textsubscript{2}N]/CO\textsubscript{2} (n = 3, 6, 12)\) interfaces.

\textbf{Ab Initio Calculation.} Geometry optimizations of dication \([C\textsubscript{3}(mim)][Tf\textsubscript{2}N]\), monocation \([C\textsubscript{3}mim]+\), and anion \([Tf\textsubscript{2}N]−\) were implemented at the B3LYP level using the 6-31+G(d, p) basis set in Gaussian09.\textsuperscript{25} In order to calculate the binding energy between cations and anions, the cation–anion complex was also optimized. The different relative positions of the anion around the cation were tried to locate the lowest potential energy surface. Single point energy followed by frequency calculation was carried out at MP2 level. To compensate for basis set superposition error, the obtained binding energy of cation–anion was corrected by the counterpoise method.\textsuperscript{26}

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

\textbf{IL + CO\textsubscript{2} System.} In order to obtain the interfacial structural information on RTIL/CO\textsubscript{2} systems, the number density profiles of CO\textsubscript{2} across dicaticonic \([C\textsubscript{3}(mim)][Tf\textsubscript{2}N]\), and monocaticonic \([C\textsubscript{3}mim][Tf\textsubscript{2}N]\) slabs were analyzed, based on the center of mass (CoM) of ions, in Figures 1 and 2. It is remarked that the anion density is twice that of cations of \([C\textsubscript{3}(mim)][Tf\textsubscript{2}N]\) in Figure 1, which is the feature of DILs since the molar ratio of anions in DILs is twice that of cations. Whereas, monocaticonic \([C\textsubscript{3}mim][Tf\textsubscript{2}N]\) in Figure 2 exhibits similar density for cations and anions. In contrast to cation CoM-based density profile, the number density profiles based on the CoM of imidazolium ring of dications and monocations were computed and shown in Figures S1 and S2. Once can find that DILs exhibit similar cation and anion densities because of
the same number density of imidazolium rings and anions in DILs. Additionally, different from the dication CoM-based number density profiles, the imidazolium ring CoM density overlaps with the anion density at IL/CO\textsubscript{2} interfaces, which is because the imidazolium ring carries most of the positive charge in the cation and closely interacts with the anion. Moreover, there is more significant fluctuation observed in density of DILs (Figure 1) than MILs (Figure 2), and the fluctuation becomes more visible with the elongation of alkyl chain in cations, which is probably attributed to the large sizes of dications and their complicate geometries in comparison with those of MILs.

Moreover, the absorbed layer of CO\textsubscript{2} formed at RTILs interfaces has been reported in many computational studies, which is also observed in dicationic [C\textsubscript{n}(mim)\textsubscript{2}][Tf\textsubscript{2}N\textsubscript{2}]/CO\textsubscript{2} systems (Figure 1). The two absorbed CO\textsubscript{2} layers with almost equivalent density at interfaces were identified due to the strong CO\textsubscript{2}–RTIL interactions. It is known that the CO\textsubscript{2}–RTIL interaction is dominated by anions,\textsuperscript{5} as evidenced by the density profile shown in Figure 1, in which the interfacial anions are closer to CO\textsubscript{2} than cations, resulting in negatively charged region at interfaces and positively charged region resulting from the accumulation of CO\textsubscript{2} (Figure S3). However, this tendency cannot be differentiated according to the number density profile of center of mass of ions for MILs in Figure 2. Therefore, the number density profile of specific atoms in cations and anions was analyzed in Figure 3. It is obvious that fluorine atoms in anions closely interact with CO\textsubscript{2} in contrast to other atoms due to the stronger binding energy, which agrees with previous report.\textsuperscript{10} This phenomenon is substantiated in both dicationic [C\textsubscript{n}(mim)\textsubscript{2}][Tf\textsubscript{2}N\textsubscript{2}]/CO\textsubscript{2} (Figure 3a) and monocationic [C\textsubscript{3}(mim)][Tf\textsubscript{2}N]/CO\textsubscript{2} (Figure 3b). Another phenomenon noticed is that the number density of CO\textsubscript{2} inside MILs (Figure 2) is higher than that inside DILs (Figure 1), which is probably ascribed to the high viscosity of DILs that requires long time for CO\textsubscript{2} to interact with all binding sites of DILs.

It should also be noted that the number density of CO\textsubscript{2} in absorbed layer decreases with the increase of alkyl chain length, similar to the observation in monocationic [C\textsubscript{n}(mim)][Tf\textsubscript{2}N]/CO\textsubscript{2} system in Figure 2. Since CO\textsubscript{2}–RTILs interactions are dominated by anions, especially fluorine atoms in [Tf\textsubscript{2}N\textsuperscript{−}], we analyze the fluorine density profile of anions across RTILs with different alkyl chain lengths in Figure 4. The fluorine density at interfaces decreases with the increasing chain length in both DILs (Figure 4a) and MILs (Figure 4b), corresponding to the decreased absorbed CO\textsubscript{2} density. This phenomenon probably indicates that the content of fluorine at RTILs/CO\textsubscript{2} interfaces plays a crucial role in determining the density of CO\textsubscript{2} in the adsorbed layer. It is noteworthy that in general interfacial fluorine density in dicationic [C\textsubscript{n}(mim)\textsubscript{2}][Tf\textsubscript{2}N\textsubscript{2}] is higher than their monocationic counterparts, which can also be ascribed to the higher anion density in DILs.

It is instructive to deliberate the orientation changes of both RTILs and CO\textsubscript{2} at interfaces, especially for dicationic [C\textsubscript{n}(mim)\textsubscript{2}][Tf\textsubscript{2}N\textsubscript{2}]/CO\textsubscript{2}, which may exhibit dissimilar behav-
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Figure 2. Number density profiles of center of mass of cations, anions, and CO\textsubscript{2} across [C\textsubscript{n}(mim)][Tf\textsubscript{2}N]/CO\textsubscript{2} systems for n = 3 (a) and n = 6 (b) at 350 K.
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Figure 3. Atomic number density profiles of cations, anions, and CO\textsubscript{2} across [C\textsubscript{3}(mim)\textsubscript{2}][Tf\textsubscript{2}N\textsubscript{2}] (a) and [C\textsubscript{3}(mim)][Tf\textsubscript{2}N] (b) at 350 K. The atoms were specified in the molecular models of [C\textsubscript{3}(mim)\textsubscript{2}][Tf\textsubscript{2}N\textsubscript{2}] and [Tf\textsubscript{2}N\textsuperscript{−}] in the bottom.
iors to monocaticic [C\textsubscript{n}mim][Tf\textsubscript{2}N]/CO\textsubscript{2}. First of all, the orientation order parameter, \(\langle P_2 \rangle\), of alkyl chains in cations at IL/gas interfaces is presented in Figure 5. For dicationic [C\textsubscript{n}(mim)$_2$](Tf\textsubscript{2}N)$_2$, \(\langle P_2 \rangle\) of linkage alkyl chain at interfaces is close to \(-0.5\), corresponding to 90° with the normal of interfaces or parallel to the interface. This tendency is different from free alkyl chains of monocationic [C\textsubscript{n}mim][Tf\textsubscript{2}N] shown in Figure 5b, which stretch out into gas phase and tend to be perpendicular to interfaces. This observation has been reported in previous studies on MILs/CO\textsubscript{2} interfaces.\textsuperscript{12,13,27} Such a difference in the alkyl chain orientation of interfacial DILs and MILs is probably because the alkyl chain of dications is connected with two positively charged imidazolium rings, which increases the electrostatic interaction within DILs and restricts the flexibility of alkyl chains. The imidazolium planes of both dicationic [C\textsubscript{n}(mim)$_2$](Tf\textsubscript{2}N)$_2$ and monocaticic [C\textsubscript{n}mim][Tf\textsubscript{2}N] at interfaces prefer to parallelize with RTILs/CO\textsubscript{2} interfaces (Figure S4). Such an orientation is in agreement with many reports on RTILs−vacuum interfaces,\textsuperscript{28−30} although it may not be the same for all the types of ionic liquids.

The orientation of CO\textsubscript{2} is defined as the tilt angle formed by O−O vector of CO\textsubscript{2} and the normal to the interfaces. The result is presented in the form of orientational order parameter shown in Figure 6. It was found that, from gas phase to the interface, \(\langle P_2 \rangle\) value is decreased from 0 to \(-0.1\) for both dicaticionic and monocaticionic RTILs/CO\textsubscript{2} systems, suggesting CO\textsubscript{2} slightly tilted toward the interfaces as approaching liquid phase in Figure 6c. The insignificant tendency in CO\textsubscript{2} orientation is also reported in previous study,\textsuperscript{31} in which CO\textsubscript{2} exhibits slight tendency to lie flat on liquid surface due to the strengthened interaction of RTILs−CO\textsubscript{2} at interfaces. In addition, the dramatic fluctuation of \(\langle P_2 \rangle\) for CO\textsubscript{2} inside MILs was observed in contrast to that inside MILs (Figure 6b), and the oscillation decreases with chain length. Overall, there is a more diverse range of CO\textsubscript{2} orientations in DILs than MILs, which may correlate with the spatial heterogeneity observed in DILs and MILs. According to our previous study,\textsuperscript{15} free alkyl chains in MILs are relatively easy to aggregate, and thus the segregation of polar and nonpolar domains is observed. However, such segregation in DILs is not significant due to the strong electrostatic interactions, which indicates that the distinctive degrees of spatial heterogeneity in DILs and MILs may contribute to dissimilar orientations of CO\textsubscript{2} inside. This deserves further investigation in future work.

In addition, the diffusivity of CO\textsubscript{2} inside RTILs was computed and listed in Table 1. For MILs, the diffusivity of CO\textsubscript{2} in MILs decreases with the increase in chain length of cations, similar to the trend of cation/anion diffusion, suggesting that the diffusion of CO\textsubscript{2} inside RTILs is dependent on the diffusion of RTILs as reported in a previous study.\textsuperscript{32} For DILs, the CO\textsubscript{2} diffusion coefficient also decreases with the elongation of alkyl chain and CO\textsubscript{2} diffusion is slower than that in MILs by \(1−2\) orders of magnitude, which can be attributed to the high viscosity and longer ion pair lifetime of DILs.\textsuperscript{34} The ion diffusion of DILs exhibits chain-length independence, consistent with reported chain length-dependent viscosity of bulk DILs.\textsuperscript{33}

**IL + Flue Gas System.** In practice, the separation of CO\textsubscript{2} from flue gas including N\textsubscript{2}, H\textsubscript{2}O, and O\textsubscript{2} is paramount for CO\textsubscript{2}
capture, by which CO₂ can be selectively removed from gas mixture. The ideal RTIL candidates for CO₂ capture should not only exhibit high CO₂ uptake but also high selectivity toward CO₂ in the presence of other gas molecules. In this study, we explored the absorption of flue gas consisting of N₂, CO₂, H₂O, and O₂ at the molar ratio of 15:2:2:1 by both dicationic [C₃(mim)₂](Tf₂N)₂ and monocationic [C₃mim][Tf₂N].

The number density profiles of the four components in flue gas are shown in Figure 7 and show that all four types of gases form absorbed layers at interfaces, and N₂ exhibits the highest density at [C₃mim][Tf₂N] interface followed by CO₂, H₂O, and O₂. Whereas, the density order at [C₃(mim)₂](Tf₂N)₂ interface follows N₂ > H₂O > CO₂ > O₂. The significant discrepancy in the densities of H₂O inside [C₃(mim)₂](Tf₂N)₂ and [C₃mim][Tf₂N] is also noticed, implicating the relatively hydrophobic nature of dicationic [C₃(mim)₂](Tf₂N)₂ in contrast to [C₃mim][Tf₂N]. Such a discrepancy is even more evident in the 2-D density map of absorbates shown in Figure 8.

Among these gas molecules, both [C₃(mim)₂](Tf₂N)₂ and [C₃mim][Tf₂N] exhibit the highest uptake of H₂O and monocationic [C₃mim][Tf₂N] shows much higher H₂O absorption than dicationic [C₃(mim)₂](Tf₂N)₂. Whereas, there is no obvious difference in CO₂ uptake of dicationic [C₃(mim)₂](Tf₂N)₂ and monocationic [C₃mim][Tf₂N]. Interestingly, there is very little N₂ uptake observed in dicationic [C₃(mim)₂](Tf₂N)₂ (e.g., the average number of N₂ molecules in the IL slab between 6 and 8 nm is 0.69). However, a considerable number of absorbed N₂ molecules are present in monocationic [C₃mim][Tf₂N] (e.g., the average number of N₂ molecules in the IL slab between 6 and 8 nm is 1.43). Such a tendency is in agreement with previous experimental report that DILs-incorporated membrane exhibits higher CO₂/N₂ selectivity than MILs-incorporated one due to the decreased N₂ permeability resulted from the reduced DILs-N₂ affinity and increased CO₂ interaction sites of DILs.18 In addition, dicationic [C₃(mim)₂](Tf₂N)₂ shows higher O₂ absorption than monocationic [C₃mim][Tf₂N]. However, this is not a big concern for the use of [C₃(mim)₂](Tf₂N)₂ in CO₂ capture since (1) the molar ratio of O₂ in flue gas is extremely low (5%) and (2) the low solubility and weak interaction of O₂ with ionic liquids.35

**Optimized Ion Pairs and Binding Energy.** Babarao and Gupta et al.’s studies on nitrile-based ionic liquids have demonstrated that CO₂ solubility in ionic liquids is governed by the cation–anion binding energy rather than anion–CO₂ binding energy, which increases with the decreasing of cation–anion binding energy.11,12 In this work, since DILs...
and MILs share the same type of anions, the anion−CO₂ binding energy is assumed to be identical for dicationic [C₃(mim)₂](Tf₂N)₂ and monocationic [C₃mim][Tf₂N]. Thus, the cation−anion binding energy is critical for determining CO₂ solubility. The cation−anion configurations for DILs and MILs were optimized, and the corresponding binding energy was estimated using ab initio calculation as shown in Figure 9. It should be noticed that there is hydrogen bond formed between the hydrogen in cations and oxygen in anions in the optimized complex. It is obvious that two hydrogen bonds formed in the [C₃mim]+−[Tf₂N]⁻ complex, while approximately six hydrogen bonds were observed in dicaticonic [C₃(mim)₂](Tf₂N)₂, suggesting the stronger interaction between dication [C₃(mim)₂]²⁺−anions than monocation [C₃mim]+−anions.

The cation−anion binding energy of MIL, [C₃mim][Tf₂N], is −328.26 kJ/mol as computed from ab initio calculation, whereas the cation−anion binding energy of DIL, [C₃(mim)₂]−(Tf₂N)₂, is −884.06 kJ/mol, more than twice that of [C₃mim][Tf₂N]. According to Babarao and Gupta et al.’s reports, cation−anion binding energy dominated CO₂ solubility in ionic liquids; specifically, the higher the binding energy, the lower CO₂ solubility.¹¹,¹² The high cation−anion binding energy of [C₃(mim)₂](Tf₂N)₂ may indicate the lower solubility of CO₂ inside DILs than in MILs, as shown in the number density profiles of CO₂ inside DILs and MILs in Figures 1 and 2. The higher cation−anion binding energy of DILs also explains the lower diffusion coefficients of CO₂ in DILs compared with those in MILs (Table 1). The low CO₂ solubility and diffusivity in DILs observed in simulation are probably due to the high viscosity of DILs studied. However, in experimental studies, the higher CO₂ uptake was observed in DILs with reactive cations,¹⁷ and the higher CO₂/N₂ selectivity was reported by using DILs incorporated membranes,¹⁸ which overcome the high viscosity or slow dynamics of DILs. Therefore, the redesign of DILs by replacing ions and/or modifying chemical structure to tune the physiochemical properties of DILs may improve their performance as CO₂ adsorbents.

4. CONCLUSION

Ionic liquids are promising absorbents for CO₂ capture, which exhibit selective affinity toward CO₂. Dicationic ionic liquids (DILs) are recently reported as prospective alternatives of CO₂ absorbents due to the increased CO₂ binding sites present in DILs. Previous studies have demonstrated that DILs shown several advantages over monocationic counterparts, such as increased CO₂ binding sites¹⁷ and high CO₂ selectivity.¹⁸ This work exploited the DIL−CO₂ interfacial properties using MD simulations. The chain-length-dependent CO₂ accumulation ability at interfaces was related to interfacial fluorine content, as evidenced by atomic number density profiles. We also found
that DILs exhibited different orientations at interfaces from MILs, resulting from the distinct geometries and compositions of DILs. Comparison of DIL/flue gas and MIL/flue gas interfaces demonstrates that less water is absorbed by DILs in comparison to MILs. Although DILs exhibit a slow diffusivity in comparison with MILs as suggested by the higher cation–anion binding energy of DILs, they are proposed as more promising CO₂ absorbent candidates because the redesign of DILs will overcome such shortcoming and enhance CO₂ uptake as reported in Zhang et al.’s study.17 This study provides a fundamental understanding of DILs–CO₂ interfacial properties, which may motivate more relevant investigations for the development of DILs-based CO₂ absorbents.
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